arXiv:1509.09000v1 [math-ph] 30 Sep 2015

Essential spectrum of the discrete Laplacian on a perturbed periodic graph

Itaru Sasaki*and Akito Suzuki †

October 1, 2015

Abstract

We address the Laplacian on a perturbed periodic graph which might not be a periodic graph. We present a class of perturbed graphs for which the essential spectra of the Laplacians are stable even when the graphs are perturbed by adding and removing infinitely many vertices and edges. Using this result, we demonstrate how to determine the spectra of cone-like graphs, the upper-half plane, and graphs obtained from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by randomly adding vertices.

1 Introduction

The spectral properties of the Laplacians and Scrödinger operators on periodic graphs have been studied by many authors [1–10] (see also the references therein). In this paper, the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on a perturbed periodic graph is considered. It is well-known that if the perturbation is compact, the essential spectrum is stable (see Proposition 2.3). We are interested in the case in which the perturbation is possibly non-compact, i.e., the operator "$L_{G'} - L_G$" is not a compact operator, where L_G (resp., $L_{G'}$) is the Laplacian on a periodic graph G (resp., a perturbed graph G' of G). If G' is a graph obtained from G by removing and adding some vertices, then G is not a subgraph of G', and *vice versa*. In such cases, the meaning of "$L_{G'} - L_G$" is unclear, because L_G and $L_{G'}$ act on different Hilbert spaces. The precise meaning of "$L_{G'} - L_G$" is given in (2.14). It is noteworthy that a

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Shinshu University, Asahi, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan, email: isasaki@shinshu-u.ac.jp

†Division of Mathematics and Physics, Faculty of engineering, Shinshu University, Wakasato, Nagano 380-8553, Japan, e-mail: akito@shinshu-u.ac.jp

perturbed periodic graph G' might not be periodic. In general, it is difficult to determine the spectrum of an infinite graph, if it does not have a nice symmetry, such as periodicity. In this paper, we present a class of perturbed periodic graphs G' such that the essential spectrum of an unperturbed graph G is contained in that of G':

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}). \tag{1.1}$$

We emphasize that the converse of (1.1) cannot be expected in general. As shown in Example 4.4, there exists a perturbed periodic graph G' such that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'})$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \neq \emptyset$.

In our definition (2.2), L_G is self-adjoint, and its spectrum $\sigma(L_G)$ is contained in $[-1, 1]$. This property raises the question of whether $\sigma(L_G)$ is already all of $[-1, 1]$. In their paper [4], Higuchi and Shirai stated that G has the full spectrum property (FSP) if $\sigma(L_G) = [-1, 1]$, and studied the problem of whether an infinite graph G has the FSP. If (1.1) holds and G has the FSP, then G' has the FSP (see Corollary 3.2). Therefore, it is possible to determine the spectra of perturbed graphs of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, such as those of cones (Example 4.2) and the upper-half plane (Example 4.3). We also discuss the spectrum of a graph obtained from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by randomly adding pendants.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some basic facts on perturbed and periodic graphs. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the essential spectra of perturbed periodic graphs. In Section 4, we demonstrate how to determine the spectrum of a perturbed periodic graph, using the results established in Section 3. We present the proofs of technical lemmas in the appendix.

2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be an unoriented graph (possibley having loops and multiple edges), where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are the sets of vertices and unoriented edges, respectively. We use an ordered pair $\{x, y\} \in V(G) \times V(G)$ to denote the endpoints of an edge $e \in E(G)$ and then write $V(e) = \{x, y\}$. We consider that each edge $e \in E(G)$ has two orientations and introduce the set $A(G)$ of all oriented edges e, whose origins and terminals are denoted by $o(e)$ and $t(e)$, respectively. We denote the set of all oriented edges whose origin is x by

$$A_x(G) = \{e \in A(G) \mid o(e) = x\}$$

and the number of all edges in $A_x(G)$ by $\deg_G x = \#A_x(G)$. If there is no danger of confusion, we omit G in $\deg_G$. Throughout this paper, unless

otherwise noted, we assume that G is locally finite and

$$1 \leq \inf_{x \in V(G)} \deg x \leq \sup_{x \in V(G)} \deg x < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

The left-hand side of (2.1) implies that there is no isolated vertex.

The Laplacian we address in this paper is defined as

$$(L_G \psi)(x) = \frac{1}{\deg x} \sum_{e \in A_x(G)} \psi(t(e)), \quad \psi \in \ell^2(V(G)), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\ell^2(V(G)) = \{\psi : V(G) \to \mathbb{C} \mid \langle \psi, \psi \rangle < \infty\}$$

is the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in V(G)} |\psi(x)|^2 \deg x.$$

We say that a graph (possibly having loops and multiple edges) G' is isomorphic to G and write $G' \simeq G$ if there exists a pair of bijections $\varphi_V : V(G') \to V(G)$ and $\varphi_E : E(G') \to E(G)$ such that for all $e \in E(G)$ with endpoints $V(e) = \{x, y\}$,

$$V(\varphi_E^{-1}(e)) = \{\varphi_V^{-1}(x), \varphi_V^{-1}(y)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

In this case, we can introduce an orientation-preserving bijection $\varphi_A : A(G') \to A(G)$ as $\iota'(\varphi_A^{-1}(e)) = \varphi_E^{-1}(\iota(e))$ and

$$o(\varphi_A^{-1}(e)) = \varphi_V^{-1}(o(e)), \quad t(\varphi_A^{-1}(e)) = \varphi_V^{-1}(t(e)) \quad (e \in A(G)), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\iota : A(G) \to E(G)$ and $\iota' : A(G') \to E(G')$ are natural surjections. We know from (2.4) that $\varphi_A^{-1}(A_x(G)) = A_{\varphi_V^{-1}(x)}(G')$ and $\deg_{G'} \varphi_V^{-1}(x) = \deg_G x$ for all $x \in V(G)$. If G' is isometric to G, we can define a natural unitary operator $\mathscr{U} : \ell^2(V(G)) \to \ell^2(V(G'))$ as

$$(\mathscr{U}\psi)(\varphi_V^{-1}(x)) = \psi(x), \quad x \in V(G) \tag{2.5}$$

for $\psi \in \ell^2(V(G))$. By (2.4) and (2.5),

$$L_{G'} \mathscr{U} = \mathscr{U} L_G. \tag{2.6}$$

2.1 Perturbed graph

We say that G_0 is a subgraph of G and write $G_0 \subset G$ if G_0 is a graph satisfying $V(G_0) \subset V(G)$ and $E(G_0) \subset E(G)$. A graph G with $V(G) \neq \emptyset$ is called non-empty.

Definition 2.1. We say that G' is a *perturbed graph* of a graph G if there exist non-empty subgraphs G'_0 of G' and G_0 of G such that $G'_0 \simeq G_0$.

Remark 2.1. Definition 2.1 allows the case where $G \subset G'$, and *vise verca*. If G' is a graph obtained by adding vertices and edges to G, then G' is a subgraph of G. On the other hand, if G' is a graph obtained by removing vertices and edges from G, then G' is a subgraph of G. In cases where G' is a graph obtained from G by adding and removing vertices and edges, G is not a subgraph of G', and *vise verca*. Such a case is also included in Definition 2.1.

Let G' be a perturbed graph of a graph G with bijections $\varphi_V : V(G'_0) \to V(G_0)$ and $\varphi_E : V(G'_0) \to E(G_0)$ satisfying (2.3) . If there is no danger of confusion, we omit V (resp. E, A) in φ_V (resp. φ_E, φ_A). By definition, $G'_0 = (\varphi^{-1}(V(G_0)), \varphi^{-1}(E(G_0)))$. We define an operator $\mathscr{U}_0 : \ell^2(V(G)) \to \ell^2(V(G'))$ as

$$(\mathscr{U}_0\psi)(x') = \begin{cases} \psi(x), & x' = \varphi^{-1}(x) \quad (x \in V(G_0)) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

for $\psi \in \ell^2(V(G))$. Since, in general, $\deg_G x \neq \deg_{G'} \varphi^{-1}(x)$, we cannot hope that $\mathscr{U}_0$ is partial isometric. As shown in the example below, it can also be the case that $\mathscr{U}_0 L_G \neq L_{G'} \mathscr{U}_0$.

Example 2.1 (Lattice with pendants)**.** Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ be the one-dimensional lattice and $G' = (V(G'), E(G'))$ be defined by $V(G') = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E(G') = \{e \mid V(e) = \{(m,0),(n,0)\}, |m-n| = 1\} \\ \cup \{e \mid V(e) = \{(m,0),(m,1)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

A vertex of degree one is called a pendant vertex. The vertices $(m,1) \in V(G')$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) are pendant vertices, *i.e.*, $\deg_{G'}(m,1) = 1$. We set $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G'_0 := \{(m,0) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We define a bijection $\varphi : V(G'_0) \to V(G_0)$ as

$$\varphi((m,0)) = m, \quad (m,0) \in V(G'_0).$$

The graph G' is a perturbed graph of the one-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$, which is a graph obtained from $\mathbb{Z}$ by adding a pendant vertex to each vertex of $\mathbb{Z}$. We know that $\deg_G m = 2 \neq 3 = \deg_{G'} \varphi^{-1}(m)$ and

$$\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi\|^2_{\ell^2(V(G'))} = \frac{3}{2}\|\psi\|^2_{\ell^2(V(G))}, \quad \psi \in \ell^2(V(G_0)).$$

By definition, $(\mathscr{U}_0\psi)(\cdot, 1) \equiv 0$ and

$$(\mathscr{U}_0 L_G \psi)(\varphi^{-1}(m)) = \frac{3}{2}(L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0\psi)(\varphi^{-1}(m)), \quad \psi \in V(G).$$

See [10, p.3465], where G' is denoted by $G_{1,1}$. The essential spectrum of G' is

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) = \left[-1, -\frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right],$$

whereas $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{\mathbb{Z}}) = [-1, 1]$.

Next we consider the graph G obtained from $\mathbb{Z}$ by adding pendant vertices to alternative vertices of $\mathbb{Z}$, which was studied in [10] and called $G_{2,1}$. Let $G' = G_{1,1}$ as above. Then, G' is the perturbed graph of G. Indeed, we can check the condition in Definition 2.1 as $G_0 = G'_0 = \mathbb{Z}$. From [10, p.3465], we know that

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) = \left[-1, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right] \cup \{0\} \cup \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 1\right].$$

In particular, we have $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \not\subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'})$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) \not\subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G)$.

In general, the restriction $\mathscr{U}_0 \mid_{\ell^2(V(G_0))}$ is not an isometry but an injection.

Lemma 2.1. *$\mathscr{U}_0 \mid_{\ell^2(V(G_0))}$ is an injection and*

$$c_0 \leq \frac{\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi\|_{\ell^2(V(G'))}}{\|\psi\|_{\ell^2(V(G))}} \leq C_0, \quad \psi \in \ell^2(V(G_0)) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{2.8}$$

where c_0 and C_0 are positive:

$$c_0 = \frac{\inf_{x\in V(G_0)} \deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x)}{\sup_{x\in V(G_0)} \deg_G x}, \quad C_0 = \frac{\sup_{x\in V(G_0)} \deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x)}{\inf_{x\in V(G_0)} x}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (2.8). From (2.1), we know that $0 < c_0 \leq C_0 < \infty$. By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi\|^2_{\ell^2(V(G'))} &= \sum_{x\in V(G_0)} |\psi(x)|^2 \deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{x\in V(G_0)} |\psi(x)|^2 \deg_G x \frac{\deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x)}{\deg_G x},\end{aligned}$$

which yields (2.8). □

To obtain the result that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'})$, we must divide the unperturbed part of G' that preserves the graph structure of G from the perturbed part. To this end, we set

$$\Lambda = \{x \in V(G_0) \mid \deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x) = \deg_G x,\ A_x(G) \subset A(G_0)\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $x \in \Lambda$. Then,

$$\varphi^{-1}(A_x(G)) = A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G'). \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, it follows that for all $\psi \in \ell^2(V(G))$,

$$(\mathscr{U}_0 L_G \psi)(\varphi^{-1}(x)) = (L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0\psi)(\varphi^{-1}(x)). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Because, by definition, $A_x(G) \subset A(G_0)$, we have $A_x(G) = A_x(G_0)$. Combining this with $G_0 \simeq G_0'$ yields the result that

$$\varphi^{-1}(A_x(G)) = A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G_0'). \tag{2.11}$$

To show (2.9), it suffices to prove

$$A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G_0') = A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G'). \tag{2.12}$$

Clearly, $A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G_0') \subset A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G')$. We prove the equality. Because $G_0' \simeq G_0$ and $A_x(G) = A_x(G_0)$, $\deg_{G_0'}\varphi^{-1}(x) = \deg_{G_0} x$ and $\deg_G x = \deg_{G_0} x$. Suppose that $A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G') \setminus A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G_0') \neq \emptyset$. Then,

$$\deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x) > \deg_{G_0'}\varphi^{-1}(x) = \deg_{G_0} x = \deg_G x.$$

This contradicts $x \in \Lambda$, because, by the definition of Λ, $\deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x) = \deg_G x$. This proves (2.12) and hence (2.9).

By (2.4), (2.7), and (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{U}_0 L_G\psi)(\varphi^{-1}(x)) &= \frac{1}{\deg_G x}\sum_{e\in A_x(G)}\psi(t(e)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x)}\sum_{\varphi^{-1}(e)\in A_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(G')}(\mathscr{U}_0\psi)(t(\varphi^{-1}(e))) \\ &= (L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0\psi)(\varphi^{-1}(x)), \quad x \in \Lambda.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.10). □

Remark 2.2. We use the condition

$$A_x(G) \subset A(G_0) \tag{2.13}$$

in the definition of Λ to prove Lemma 2.2. The condition (2.13) does not hold in general, even if $\deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x) = \deg_G x$. See Example 4.2. The vertex $x = (x_1, 0) \in V(G_0)$ satisfies $\deg_G x = \deg_{G'}\varphi^{-1}(x)$. However, (2.13) does not hold, because $A_x(G) \setminus A_x(G_0) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $P_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)} : \ell^2(V(G')) \to \ell^2(V(G'))$ be the orthogonal projection onto the closed subspace

$$\ell^2(\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)) := \{\psi' \in \ell^2(V(G')) \mid \mathrm{supp}\psi' \subset \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)\}$$

and $P^{\perp}_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)} := 1 - P_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)}$. Because by (2.10), $P_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)}(L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0 - \mathscr{U}_0 L_G) = 0$,

$$L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0 = \mathscr{U}_0 L_G + K_\Lambda, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$K_\Lambda := P^{\perp}_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)}(L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0 - \mathscr{U}_0 L_G). \tag{2.15}$$

In this sense, we say that $\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ (resp. $\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}}$) is the unperturbed (resp. perturbed) part of the perturbed graph G'. If $\#\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}} < \infty$, then $P^{\perp}_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)}$ is a finite rank operator and hence by (2.15), K_Λ is compact.

Proposition 2.3. Let G' be a perturbed graph of G. If $\#\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}} < \infty$, then

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G)$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ be a Weyl sequence for L_G such that (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(L_G - \lambda)\psi_n\| = 0$, (ii) $\|\psi_n\| = 1$, and (iii) $\mathrm{w}-\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi_n = 0$. $\mathscr{U}_0\psi_n/\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi_n\|$ is a Weyl sequence for $L_{G'}$. Indeed, by (2.8), $\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi_n\| \geq c_0 > 0$ and hence $\mathrm{w}-\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathscr{U}_0\psi_n/\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi_n\| = 0$. By the compactness of K_Λ, it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(L_{G'} - \lambda)(\mathscr{U}_0\psi_n/\|\mathscr{U}_0\psi_n\|)\| = 0$. Hence, $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'})$. □

We want to prove $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'})$ under the condition in which K_Λ is allowed to be non compact, i.e., $\#\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}} = \infty$. This fact is established in Section 3 in the case in which G is a periodic graph.

2.2 Periodic graph

We end this section by providing the definition of $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graphs, which are not necessary contained in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (see [2,8] for periodic graphs contained in $\mathbb{Z}^d$) and allow multiple edges and loops. We set $\mathbb{N}_s = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s\}$ $(s \in \mathbb{N})$ and define a translation on $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_s$ as

$$\tau_a((m, v_i)) = (m - a, v_i), \quad (m, v_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_s.$$

We use $A_{u,v}(G)$ to denote the set of edges with $o(e) = u$, $t(e) = v$ $(u, v \in V(G))$:

$$A_{u,v}(G) = \{e \in A_u(G) \mid t(e) = v\}.$$

Definition 2.2. We say that a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-*periodic graph* and write $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$ if G is isomorphic to a locally finite graph $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ satisfying $(\mathscr{L}_1)$ - $(\mathscr{L}_2)$.

$(\mathscr{L}_1)$ There exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_s$.

$(\mathscr{L}_2)$ For all $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$, $\#A_{\tau_a(v),\tau_a(u)}(\Gamma) = \#A_{v,u}(\Gamma)$.

The condition $(\mathscr{L}_1)$ ensures that for a periodic graph $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$, there is a bijection $\varphi : V(G) \ni x \mapsto (m, v_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_s$:

$$x = \varphi^{-1}(m, v_i). \tag{2.16}$$

We henceforth identify a vertex $x \in V(G)$ of a periodic graph with $(m, v_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_s$ by (2.16), and then write $x = (m, v_i)$. In this case, we write the vertex set of a periodic graph as $V(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_s$. By the relations (2.5) and (2.6) (replacing G' with G and G with Γ), we also identify the Laplacian L_G of a periodic graph with L_Γ. Since, by $(\mathscr{L}_2)$, $\deg\tau_a(x) = \deg x$ for $x \in V(G)$,

$$d_i := \deg(m, v_i) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, s) \tag{2.17}$$

are independent of $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The condition $(\mathscr{L}_2)$ implies that there exists a graph automorphism $\varphi_{a,V} : V(G) \to V(G)$, $\varphi_{a,E} : E(G) \to E(G)$ $(a \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ such that $\varphi_{a,V}(x) = \tau_a(x)$ $(x \in V(G)$ and, if $V(e) = \{x, y\}$, then $V(\varphi_{a,E}(e)) = \{\tau_a(x), \tau_a(y)\}$. We use the notation τ_a to denote the automorphism φ_a (the subscripts V and E are omitted).

We define the translation T_a on $\ell^2(V(G))$ $(a \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ as

$$(T_a\psi)(x) = \psi(\tau_a(x)), \quad x \in V(G).$$

By $(\mathscr{L}_2)$ again, the Laplacian L_G commutes with T_a for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, *i.e.*, $[L_G, T_a] = 0$. Hence, we expect that L_G and T_a can be simultaneously decomposable. Indeed, this can be accomplished as follows (for details, see [8] and [10]). Let $\ell^2(V_s) = \mathbb{C}^s$ be the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{V_s} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \bar{\xi}_i \eta_i d_i, \quad \xi, \eta \in \ell^2(V_s).$$

Let $\mathscr{F} : \ell^2(V(G)) \to \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \ell^2(V_s) \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}$ be a unitary operator defined as $(\mathscr{F}\psi)(k) = \left(\hat{\psi}_i(k)\right)_{i=1}^{s}$, where $\hat{\psi}_i(k) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-ik\cdot m} \psi(m, v_i)$. Then, we have

$$\mathscr{F} T_a \mathscr{F}^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} e^{-iak} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}$$

and the Floquet-Bloch decomposition,

$$\mathscr{F} L_G \mathscr{F}^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} L_G(k) \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}, \tag{2.18}$$

where $L_G(k)$ is the Floquet $s \times s$ matrix and $k \in \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$ is the quasimomentum.

Let π_* and π^* be projections on $V(G)$ defined by

$$\pi_*(m, v_i) = m \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \pi^*(m, v_i) = v_i \in \mathbb{N}_s, \quad (m, v_i) \in V(G)$$

and set

$$A_{i,j}(G) = \{e \in A_{(0,v_i)}(G) \mid \pi^*(t(e)) = v_j\}.$$

In their paper [8], Korotyaev and Saburova introduced a convenient notation called the *edge index* $\chi(e)$:

$$\chi(e) = \pi_*(t(e)) - \pi_*(o(e)) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad e \in A(G).$$

They called an edge e with non-zero index a *bridge*. By $(\mathscr{L}_2)$ and (2.4), χ is $\mathbb{Z}^d$-invariant, *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\tau_a(e)) &= \pi_*(\tau_a(t(e))) - \pi_*(\tau_a(o(e))) \\ &= (\pi_*(t(e)) - a) - (\pi_*(o(e)) - a) = \chi(e), \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $\pi_*(o(\tau_{\pi_*(o(e))}(e))) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and

$$\chi(e) = \pi_*(t(\tau_{\pi_*(o(e))}(e))), \quad e \in A(G).$$

In particular, if $e \in A_{i,j}(G)$ and $t(e) = (m, v_j)$, then $\chi(e) = m$. The following are known:

Lemma 2.4 ([8], [3]). Let $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$.

(i) $L_G(k) = ((L_G)_{i,j}(k))_{i,j=1}^s$ in (2.18) is given by

$$(L_G)_{i,j}(k) = \sum_{e \in A_{i,j}(G)} e^{i\chi(e)\cdot k}/d_i.$$

(ii) $\sigma(L_G) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \lambda_i(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Using (i) of Lemma 2.4, we have the following.

Proposition 2.5. Let $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$.

$$(L_G\psi)(m, v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{e \in A_{i,j}(G)} \psi(m + \chi(e), v_j)/d_i, \quad (m, v_i) \in V(G).$$

Proof. By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}(L_G\psi)(m, v_i) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ik\cdot m} \sum_{j=1}^s (L_G)_{i,j}(k)\hat{\psi}_j(k) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{e \in A_{i,j}(G)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ik\cdot(m+\chi(e))}\hat{\psi}_j(k)/d_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{e \in A_{i,j}(G)} \psi(m + \chi(e), v_j)/d_i.\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Results

We call a perturbed graph G' of $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$ a *perturbed periodic graph* of G. We define the propagation length $l_G \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$l_G = \sup_{j=1,\dots,d} \sup_{e \in A(G)} |\chi_j(e)|, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\chi_j(e)$ is the j-th component of the edge index of e. If G is connected, then there exists a bridge, and hence $l_G \geq 1$. We use the following condition.

($\mathscr{P}$) There exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset V(G_0)$ such that

$$I_n(x_n) := \{x \in V(G) \mid \pi_*(x) - \pi_*(x_n) \in [-n - l_G + 1, n + l_G - 1]^d\} \subset \Lambda.$$

We are now in a position to state our main theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Let G' be a perturbed periodic graph of $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$. Suppose that G' satisfies $(\mathscr{P})$. Then,

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}).$$

Remark 3.1. From a physical point of view, $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G)$ can be considered as the bulk spectrum. As shown below, the bulk spectrum $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G)$ corresponds to a Weyl sequence of states with support in the unperturbed part $\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ of G'.

Since $\sigma(L_{G'})$ is contained in $[-1, 1]$, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. Let G' be a perturbed periodic graph of $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$. Suppose that G satisfies $(\mathscr{P})$ and G has the FSP. Then, G' has the FSP.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. As in the proof of Proposition 2.3, it suffices to show the existence of a Weyl sequence for $L_{G'}$. To this end, we fix $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(G)$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\lambda = \lambda_h(k_0)$ with some $h = 1, \dots, s$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{T}^d$. Let $\xi_0 \in \ell^2(V_s)$ be a normalized eigenvector of the Floquet matrix $L_G(k_0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_h(k_0)$: $L_G(k_0)\xi_0 = \lambda_h(k_0)\xi_0$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a function $\rho_n : \mathbb{Z}^d \to [0, 1]$ as

$$\rho_n(m) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \rho(m_j/n), \quad m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where

$$\rho(t) := \begin{cases} 1 - |t|, & |t| \le 1, \\ 0, & |t| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, ρ_n is supported in $[-n+1, n-1]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\rho_n(m)|^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{m_i \in [-n+1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(m_j/n)|^2 = \left(\frac{2n^2 + 1}{3n} \right)^d. \tag{3.2}$$

Let ψ_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ be vectors in $\ell^2(V(G))$ defined by

$$\psi_n(m, v_i) = e^{ik_0 \cdot m} \rho_n(m) (\xi_0)_i, \quad (m, v_i) \in V(G),$$

where $(\xi_0)_i$ is the i-th component of ξ_0. Because ξ_0 is a normalized vector, we know, from (3.2) that

$$\|\psi_n\|^2_{\ell^2(V(G))} = \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\rho_n(m)|^2 \right) \|\xi_0\|^2_{V_s} = \left(\frac{2n^2 + 1}{3n} \right)^d. \tag{3.3}$$

Combining $(\mathscr{P})$ with the fact $\mathrm{supp}\rho_n = [-n+1, n-1]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have

$$\mathrm{supp}\psi_n(\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(\cdot)) \subset I_n(x_n) \subset \Lambda. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, by (2.8) and (3.3),

$$\|\mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\| \geq c_0 \left(\frac{2n^2+1}{3n}\right)^{d/2}. \tag{3.5}$$

We now define a sequence $\{\Psi_n\} \subset \ell^2(V(G'))$ of normalized vectors as

$$\Psi_n = \mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n / \|\mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\|. \tag{3.6}$$

By definition, we know that $\sup_{x\in V(G)} |\psi_n(x)| \leq 1$. By (3.5),

$$\sup_{x'\in V(G')} |\Psi_n(x')| \leq {c_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{2n^2+1}{3n}\right)^{-d/2}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \Phi, \Psi_n \rangle = 0$$

for all finitely supported vectors $\Phi \in V(G')$, *i.e.*, $\#\mathrm{supp}\Phi < \infty$. A standard limiting argument yields the result that $\mathrm{w}-\lim_{n\to\infty} \Psi_n = 0$.

It remains to prove the following:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (L_{G'} - \lambda)\Psi_n = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

By (2.14), we observe that

$$(L_{G'} - \lambda)\Psi_n = C_n \left(\mathscr{U}_0 (L_G - \lambda) T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n + K_\Lambda T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where $C_n := \|\mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\|^{-1}$. Since T_a commutes with L_G for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the first term of (3.8) is

$$C_n \mathscr{U}_0 (L_G - \lambda) T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n = C_n \mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)} (L_G - \lambda)\psi_n. \tag{3.9}$$

We will prove that the second term of (3.8) vanishes. Because by the definition of K_Λ, $(K_\Lambda T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n)\,|_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)} = 0$, it suffices to prove the following:

$$(K_\Lambda T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n)\,|_{\varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}}} = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Let $x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}}$. By (2.15),

$$\left(K_\Lambda T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') = \left(L_{G'}\mathcal{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') - \left(\mathcal{U}_0 L_G T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x').$$

To show (3.10), it suffices to prove the following lemma, which is proved in the appendix.

Lemma 3.3. Let $x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}}$.

(i) $\left(L_{G'}\mathcal{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') = 0$.

(ii) $\left(\mathcal{U}_0 L_G T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') = 0$.

Taking the above argument, (2.8), and eqrefnormofpsin02 into account, we observe, from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|(L_{G'} - \lambda)\Psi_n\|_{\ell^2(V(G'))} &= C_n \|\mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}(L_G - \lambda)\psi_n\|_{\ell^2(V(G'))} \\ &\leq C_0 c_0^{-1} \left(\frac{2n^2+1}{3n}\right)^{-d/2} \|(L_G - \lambda)\psi_n\|_{\ell^2(V(G))}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Because ξ_0 is an eigenvector of $L_G(k_0)$ corresponding to $\lambda = \lambda_h(k_0)$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\psi_n(m, v_i) &= e^{ik_0\cdot m}\rho_n(m)\lambda_h(k_0)(\xi_0)_i \\ &= e^{ik_0\cdot m}\rho_n(m)(L_G(k_0)\xi_0)_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s}\sum_{e\in A_{i,j}(G)} e^{i(m+\chi(e))\cdot k_0}\rho_n(m)(\xi_0)_j/d_i.\end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.5,

$$((L_G - \lambda)\psi_n)(m, v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{s}\sum_{e\in B_{i,j}(G)} e^{i(m+\chi(e))\cdot k_0}(\xi_0)_j(\rho_n(m+\chi(e)) - \rho_n(m))/d_i,$$

where $B_{i,j}(G)$ is the set of all bridges contained in $A_{i,j}(G)$:

$$B_{i,j}(G) = \{e \in A_{i,j}(G) \mid \chi(e) \neq 0\}.$$

Let $B(G)$ be the set of all bridges

$$B(G) = \{e \in B_{i,j}(G) \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, s\}.$$

By the Schwartz inequality and the fact that $d_i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(L_G-\lambda)\psi_n\|^2 &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{i=1}^{s}|((L_G-\lambda)\psi_n)(m,v_i)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s}\sum_{e\in B_{i,j}(G)}(\xi_0)_j^2\right) \\ &\qquad\times\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s}\sum_{e\in B_{i,j}(G)}|\rho_n(m+\chi(e))-\rho_n(m)|^2\right) \\ &\leq \#B(G)\sum_{e\in B(G)}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\rho_n(m+\chi(e))-\rho_n(m)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}|\rho_n(m+\chi(e))-\rho_n(m)| = &\prod_{\chi_j(e)=0}|\rho(m_j/n)| \\ &\times|\prod_{\chi_i(e)\neq 0}\rho((m_i-\chi_i(e))/n)-\prod_{\chi_i(e)\neq 0}\rho(m_i/n)|\end{aligned}$$

and $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|\rho(m/n)|^2=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|\rho((m-l)/n)|^2$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|(L_G-\lambda)\psi_n\|^2 \leq \#B(G)&\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\rho(m)|^2\right)^{d-1} \\ &\times\sum_{e\in B(G)}\sum_{\chi_i(e)\neq 0}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\rho((m-\chi_i(e))/n)-\rho(m/n)|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Combining (3.11) with (3.12) yields the result that

$$\begin{aligned}\|((L_{G'})-\lambda)\Psi_n\|^2_{\ell^2(V(G'))} \leq C_0^2\#B(G)&\left(\frac{2n^2+1}{3n}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times\sum_{e\in B(G)}\sum_{\chi_i(e)\neq 0}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\rho((m-\chi_i(e))/n)-\rho(m/n)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by using the following lemma. □

Lemma 3.4. For all $l\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|\rho((m-l)/n)-\rho(m/n)|^2=O(n^{-1})$$

as $n\to\infty$.

We prove the lemma in the appendix.



Figure 1: Graphs in Example 4.1

4 Examples

In this section, we present some examples of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

Example 4.1. *(Random pendant graph)* We consider a graph obtained from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by randomly adding pendant vertices. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Note that $G \in \mathscr{L}^d$, because $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{v_1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{v_1\}$ satisfies $(\mathscr{L}_1)$ and $(\mathscr{L}_2)$. Then, the map π_* is trivial, *i.e.*, $\pi_*(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Let q_x ($x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$) be a Bernoulli independent, identically distribution (i.i.d.) random variable with $\mathbb{P}(q_x = 1) = \mathbb{P}(q_x = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 &:= G \\ V(G_0') &:= \{(x,0) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}, \\ E(G_0') &:= \{e \mid V(e) = \{(x,0),(y,0)\}, |x-y| = 1\}, \\ V(G') &:= V(G_0') \cup \{(x,1) \mid q_x = 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{0,1\}, \\ E(G') &:= E(G_0') \cup \{e \mid V(e) = \{(x,0),(x,1)\}, q_x = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $G' = (V(G'), E(G'))$ is a perturbed graph of G with $\varphi((x,0)) = x$ ($x \in V(G_0)$). The vertex $(x,1) \in V(G')$ is a pendant vertex, which is added to the vertex $(x,0)$ of $G_0' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ with probability $\mathbb{P}(q_x = 1) = 1/2$. In this sense, the graph G' is considered as a graph obtained from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by adding pendant vertices with probability $1/2$. See Fig. 1. In this case, $\ell_G = 1$ and $I_n(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | y - x \in [-n,n]^2\}$. Since q_x is a Bernoulli i.i.d., G' satisfies $(\mathscr{P})$ almost surely. Indeed, for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\mathbb{P}(I_n(x) \not\subset \Lambda) = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\#I_n(x)} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(2n+1)^2}.$$

Let $\xi_j \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfy $I_n(\xi_j) \cap I_n(\xi_k) = \emptyset$ $(i \neq j)$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^2,\ I_n(x) \not\subset \Lambda) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\forall j = 1, \ldots, N, I_n(\xi_j) \not\subset \Lambda) \\ &= \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(2n+1)^2}\right]^N \longrightarrow 0 \ (N \to \infty).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, there almost surely exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $I_n(x_n) \subset \Lambda$. By Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) = [-1, 1], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Example 4.2. *(Cone-like graph)* The unperturbed graph is $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}&V(G_0) := \{x = (x_1, x_2) \mid x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2\}, \\ &E(G_0) := \{e \mid V(e) = \{x, y\}, |x - y| = 1,\ x, y \in V(G_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

A cone-like graph $G' = (V(G'), E(G'))$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&V(G') = V(G_0), \\ &E(G') = E(G_0) \cup \{e \mid V(e) = \{(x_1, 0), (0, x_1)\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $V(G'_0) := V(G_0)$ and $E(G'_0) := E(G_0)$, G' becomes a perturbed graph of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. It is easy to show that $\Lambda = \{(x_1, x_2) \in V(G') \mid x_1 \geq 1, x_2 \geq 1\}$. As in the previous example, the maps π_* and φ are trivial and $\ell_G = 1$. Since $I_n(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid y - x \in [-n, n]^2\}$, if we take $x_n = (n + 1, n + 1) \in V(G_0)$, then $I_n(x_n) \subset \Lambda$. Thus, the graph G' satisfies $(\mathscr{P})$. Then, by Corollary 3.2,

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) = [-1, 1].$$

Example 4.3. *(Upper-half plane)* The unperturbed graph is $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. The upper-half plane is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&V(G') = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{Z},\ x_2 \geq 0\}, \\ &E(G') = \{e \mid V(e) = \{x, y\}, |x - y| = 1,\ x, y \in V(G')\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $G_0 = G'_0 = G'$. G' is a perturbed graph of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. One can check that $\pi_* and \varphi$ are trivial maps, $\ell_G = 1$, and $\Lambda = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x_2 \geq 1\}$. Setting $x_n = (0, n + 1)$, $I_n(x_n) \subset \Lambda$. By Corollary 3.2,we have

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) = [-1, 1].$$



Figure 2: Graphs in Example 4.4

Example 4.4. Let $G \in \mathscr{L}^1$ be the graph obtained from $\mathbb{Z}$ by adding a pendant vertex to each $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Example 2.1). Let G' be a graph obtained from G by adding a pendant vertex to each vertex $x \geq 0$. G' is a graph obtained from $\mathbb{Z}$ by adding one pendant vertex to each vertex $x < 0$ and two pendant vertices to each vertex $x \geq 0$. See Fig. 2. More precisely, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
V(G') &= V(G) \cup \{(x,s) \mid x \geq 0, s \in \{0,1,2\}\},\\
E(G') &= E(G) \cup \{e \mid V(e) = \{(x,0),(x,2)\}, x \geq 0\},\\
V(G) &= \{(x,s) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \{0,1\}\},\\
E(G) &= \{e \mid V(e) = \{(x,0),(y,0)\}, |x-y| = 1\}\\
&\qquad \cup \{e \mid V(e) = \{(x,0),(x,1)\}, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The vertices $(x,1)$ and $(x,2)$ are pendant vertices adjacent to $(x,0)$. Let $G_0 = G_0' = G \subset G'$. Then, $\Lambda = \{(x,s) \in V(G) \mid x < 0, s \in \{0,1\}\}$. Clearly, G' satisfies $(\mathscr{P})$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G) = \left[-1, -\frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right] \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}).$$

On the other hand, $L_{G'}$ has zero eigenvalues with infinite multiplicity. Indeed, $\Psi^{(n)} \in \ell^2(V(G'))$ $(n \geq 0)$ defined below are zero eigenstates:

$$\Psi^{(n)}(x,s) = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{2}, & (x,s) = (n,1)\\ -1/\sqrt{2}, & (x,s) = (n,2)\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $0 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_{G'}) \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(L_G)$.

A Proof of Lemmas

A.1 Proof of Lemma 3.3

We first prove (i) of Lemma 3.3. Let $x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}}$. From (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{G'}\mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n)(x') &= \sum_{e'\in A_{x'}(G')} \left(\mathscr{U}_0 T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(t(e')) \\ &= \sum_{e'\in A_{x'}(G'),\ t(e')\in\varphi^{-1}(V(G_0))} \left(T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(\varphi(t(e'))) \\ &= \sum_{e'\in A_{x'}(G'),\ t(e')\in\varphi^{-1}(V(G_0))} \psi_n(\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(\varphi(t(e')))).\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove the following:

Lemma A.1. Let $x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{c}}$, $e' \in A_{x'}(G')$ and $t(e') \in \varphi^{-1}(V(G_0))$. Then,

$$\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(\varphi(t(e'))) \in (\mathrm{supp}\psi_n)^{\mathrm{c}}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(\varphi(t(e'))) \in \mathrm{supp}\psi_n$. Then, $\pi_*(\varphi(t(e')))-\pi_*(x_n) \in [-n+1, n-1]^d$. Hence, we know that $\varphi(t(e')) \in I_n(x_n) \subset \Lambda$ and $t(e') \in \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)$. By the definition of Λ, we have $\deg_{G'} t(e') = \deg_G \varphi(t(e'))$ and $A_{\varphi(t(e'))}(G) \subset A(G_0)$ with the result that $A_{t(e')}(G') \subset A(G'_0)$. Thus, the inverse edge $\bar{e}'$ of e' is also contained in $A(G'_0)$ and has the origin $o(\bar{e}') = t(e')$ and terminal $t(\bar{e}') = o(e')$, which are contained in $V(G'_0)$. Hence, $\varphi(e') \in A(G_0)$ abd $x' = o(e') \in V(G'_0) \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\Lambda)$. In particular, $\varphi(x') \notin I(x_n)$ and $\pi_*(\varphi(x')) - \pi_*(x_n) \notin [-n - l_G + 1, n + l_G - 1]^d$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\varphi(e')) &= \pi_*(t(\varphi(e')) - \pi_*(o(\varphi(e')) \\ &= \pi_*(\varphi(t(e')) - \pi_*(\varphi(x')) \\ &= (\pi_*(\varphi(t(e')) - \pi_*(x_n)) - (\pi_*(\varphi(x')) - \pi_*(x_n)),\end{aligned}$$

which yields $|\chi_j(\varphi(e'))| \geq l_G + 1$. This is a contradiction, and therefore $\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(\varphi(t(e'))) \notin \mathrm{supp}\psi_n$. □

In what follows, we prove (ii) of Lemma 3.3. Because, by (2.7),

$$\left(\mathcal{U}_0 L_G T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') = \begin{cases} \left(L_G T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x'), & x' \in \varphi^{-1}(V(G_0)) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

it suffices to show that

$$\left(L_G T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') = 0 \tag{A.1}$$

for all $x' = \varphi^{-1}(x)$ with $x \in V(G_0) \cap \Lambda^{\mathrm{c}}$. By the definition of L_G,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(L_G T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(x') &= \sum_{e\in A_x(G)} \left(T_{\pi_*(x_n)}\psi_n\right)(t(e)) \\ &= \sum_{e\in A_x(G)} \psi_n(\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(t(e))).\end{aligned}$$

To show (A.1), we need only to prove the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. Let $x \in V(G_0) \cap \Lambda^{\mathrm{c}}$ and $e \in A_x(G)$. Then,

$$\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(t(e)) \in (\mathrm{supp}\psi_n)^{\mathrm{c}}.$$

Proof. Let $\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(t(e)) \in \mathrm{supp}\psi_n$. Then,

$$\pi_*(t(e)) - \pi_*(x_n) \in [-n+1, n-1]^d,$$

which implies $t(e) \in I_n(x_n) \subset \Lambda$. Since $x \notin \Lambda$, $x \notin I_n(x_n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(e) &= \pi_*(t(e)) - \pi_*(o(e)) \\ &= \pi_*(t(e)) - \pi_*(x) \\ &= (\pi_*(t(e)) - \pi_*(x_n)) + (\pi_*(x_n) - \pi_*(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Because $\pi_*(t(e)) - \pi_*(x_n) \in [-n+1, n-1]^d$ and $\pi_*(x_n) - \pi_*(x) \notin [-n + l_G; 1, n + l_G - 1]^d$, $|\chi_j(e)| \geq l_G + 1$. This is a contradiction, and therefore $\tau_{\pi_*(x_n)}(t(e)) \notin \mathrm{supp}\psi_n$. □

A.2 Proof of Lemma 3.4

Let

$$f_l(n) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |\rho((m-l)/n) - \rho(m/n)|^2.$$

Because ρ is an even function,

$$\begin{aligned}f_{-l}(n) &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |\rho((m+l)/n) - \rho(m/n)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |\rho((-m-l)/n) - \rho(-m/n)|^2 = f_l(n).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can assume that $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > l$ without loss of generality. By direct calculation,

$$f_l(n) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$I_1 := \sum_{m=-n,\dots,n-l} |\rho((m+l)/n) - \rho(m/n)|^2$$
$$I_2 := \sum_{m=-n-l+1,\dots,-n} |\rho((m+l)/n)|^2$$
$$I_3 := \sum_{m=n-l,\dots,n-1} |\rho(m/n)|^2.$$

We first estimate I_1. By the triangle inequality,

$$I_1 \leq = n^{-2} \sum_{m=-n,\dots,n-l} ||m+l| - |m||^2$$
$$\leq (l/n)^2 \sum_{m=-n,\dots,n-l} 1 = \left(2 - \frac{l+1}{n}\right) \frac{l^2}{n} = O(n^{-1}).$$

Next we show that $I_2 = I_3 = O(n^{-2})$. Because ρ is even, the change of coordinates $m' = m + l$ yields

$$I_2 = \sum_{m'=-n+1,\dots,-n+l} |\rho(m'/n)|^2$$
$$= I_3 = n^{-2} \sum_{m=n-l,\dots,n-1} |n-m|^2 = n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{l} k^2 = O(n^{-2}).$$

This proves Lemma 3.4.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Fumihiko Nakano for providing useful comments. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (No. 26800054).

References

[1] K. Ando, Inverse scattering theory for discrete Schrödinger operators on the hexagonal lattice, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **14** (2013) 347–383.

[2] K. Ando, H. Isozaki, H. Morioka, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on perturbed lattices, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, to appear.

[3] Y. Higuchi, Y. Nomura, Spectral structure of the Laplacian on a covering graph, *European J. Combin.* **30** (2009) 570–585.

[4] Y. Higuchi, T. Shirai, Some spectral and geometric properties for infinite graphs, *Contemp. Math.* **347** (2004) 29–56.

[5] F. Hiroshima, I. Sasaki, T. Shirai, A. Suzuki, Note on the spectrum of discrete Schrödinger operators, *J. Math-for-Industry* **4** (2012) 105–108.

[6] H. Isozaki, E. Korotyaev, Inverse problems, trace formulae for discrete Schrödinger operators, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, **13** (2012) 751–788.

[7] H. Isazaki, H. Morioka, Inverse scattering at a fixed energy for discrete Schrödinger operators on the square lattice, *Ann. I. Fourier*, to appear.

[8] E. Korotyaev, N. Saburova, Schrödinger operators on periodic discrete graphs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **420** (2014) 576–611.

[9] V. S. Rabinovich, S. Roch, Essential spectra of difference operators on $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodic graphs, *J. Phys. A* **40** (2007) 10109–10128.

[10] A. Suzuki, Spectrum of the Laplacian on a covering graph with pendant edges I: The one-dimensional lattice and beyond, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **439** (2013) 3464–3489.